

**AB 1869 (Chapter 92, Statute 2020) Backfill Allocation Spending Report**  
**for FY 2023 (July 1, 2022-June 30, 2023)**

County Department	Total annual adopted budget of the county department(s) that receive any backfill allocation.	Share of allocation received (if more than one department, please include all recipients and allocation amount).	Expenditures of the allocation by county department or departments that receive a share of the total allocation.	A detailed description of the programs, services, strategies, and enhancements supported by or made with the allocation by county department. (for additional space, please include another page)
Public Defender's Office	\$24,167,694	\$95,320	\$95,320	<del>These funds were used to help fund staff in the Criminal Defense Program of the Public Defender's office. Attorneys provide representation to clients in all phases of their case(s), from arraignment to disposition. This amount is equivalent to salary and benefits of two Attorney II positions</del> These funds were used to support the Criminal Defense Program of the Public Defender's office. Attorneys provide representation to clients in all phases of their case(s), from arraignment to disposition.
Sheriff's Office	\$312,288,589	\$425,450	\$425,450	These funds were used to support operational costs for the Electronic Monitoring Program, which is designed to alleviate rising jail population. Such costs include the cost of deputies that reinforce compliance of program participants and ensure overall public safety.
Probation Agency	\$83,166,556	\$973,345	\$973,345	<del>These funds were used to support operational costs of the Probation Agency: a) supervising probation clients, b) electronic monitoring services, and c) contracting a vendor to provide services for the Reporting and Resource Center (RRC). The RRC provides programming to clients with a goal of reducing client recidivism. Portion of funding was used to cover salary and benefit expenses for roughly 6 probation officers who were supervising probation clients who had previously been required to pay fines and fees to the county. It should be noted that fines and fees previously covered some 15 probation officers and supervisors. Also, portion of funding was used to cover some of the costs for a vendor that the Ventura County Probation Agency contracted to provide a Reporting and Resource Center (RRC) where probation clients attend with a goal of reduction of client recidivism.</del>